

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/720,761	SHEETS, RICHARD G.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Marvin Motsenbocker.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 6/22/04*
**Claims discussed:**
*All*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Prior Art of Record*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 35-37 of the instant specification.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)